

\* \* R E M A R K S \* \*

Applicant wishes to acknowledge with appreciation the Examiner's analysis and efforts in examining this application.

The Official Action of July 8, 2010, has been thoroughly studied. Accordingly, the changes presented herein for the application, considered together with the following remarks, are believed to be sufficient to place the application into condition for allowance.

Claim 85 has been amended and Claim 86 added. Support for the amendments to Claim 85 includes the specification and original claims. Particularly, "hydrogen peroxide" and "surfaces in a food processing environment" are found on page 2 of the original specification. Reducing microbial populations is found on page 3, pH range on page 9, and amine oxide on page 5 of the specification.

New claim 86 is similar to cancelled Claim 71, with amendments including the combination of percarbonate and an alkaline builder, as well as other limitations similar to Claim 85. A discussion of Claim 71 is available in the Board's Decision. Support for limitations such as "consisting of" and "percarbonate" is found in the specification including page 6.

**I. CLAIM REJECTIONS – 35 USC § 112**

In light of the interview with the Examiner on October 6, 2010, and the amendments to Claim 85, these rejections are now believed moot. It was agreed during this interview that the amendments made to Claim 85 would overcome these rejections. Withdrawal of the same is, therefore, respectfully requested.

**II. CLAIM REJECTIONS – 35 U.S.C. § 102**

In light of the interview with the Examiner on October 6, 2010, and the amendments made to Claim 85, these rejections are now believed moot. Several amendments were suggested by the Examiner. Arbogast, Rees and Thompson were discussed regarding these claims. No agreement was reached on the ultimate issue of patentability, but the Examiner believed these amendments will advance prosecution.

It is believed that the above represents a complete response to the Official Action and favorable reconsideration by the Examiner is requested. The amendments are deemed to place the application in better form for allowance.

If upon consideration of the above the Examiner should feel that there remain outstanding issues in the present application that could be resolved, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's patent counsel at the telephone number given below to discuss such issues.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 is hereby made. To the extent additional fees are required, please charge the fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account No. 02-1010 (50460/83518) and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

/Gregory S. Cooper/  
Gregory S. Cooper  
Reg. No. 40,965  
Direct Line: (260) 425-4660